UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/771,380	02/05/2004	Tokio Ooi	118520	3333
25944 7590 05/05/2008 OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 320850			EXAMINER	
			HENDRICKSON, STUART L	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/05/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/771,380	OOI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stuart Hendrickson	1793				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3/26/	'08					
· <u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
<u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
. —	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.	4) \times Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.	· · · <u> </u>					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
2) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
·—	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
222 and alabelies detailed control a liet of the defining deploy not received.						
Attachment(s)  1) M Notice of References Cited (RTO 992)  4) Unitodian Summery (RTO 413)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)						

Application/Control Number: 10/771,380 Page 2

Art Unit: 1793

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found

in a prior Office action. The RCE is accepted.

Claims 1, 3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the

alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Walker 3638399.

The reference teaches in col. 2 and 4 treating active carbon having a wide pore size distribution

with naphthalene and like compounds until it is saturated. No differences are seen in the carbon

or the effect of the treatment. Concerning claim 5, it is implied, however to the extent that it is

not taught, it is an obvious expedient to avoid burn-off of the carbon.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under

35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over the Nakano article.

The reference teaches, especially on pgs. 2, 3, contacting molecular sieve carbon (ie, active

carbon) with naphthalene and like compounds at 200C and heating in inert gas. The presence

of macropores and micropores indicate that he distribution claimed is met. No differences are

seen in the carbon, due to the pore structure and source. Concerning claims 4 and 5, they are

implied, however to the extent not taught, are an obvious expedient to avoid burning the carbon.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 have been considered but are moot in

view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The Nakano reference was cited in 5098880.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner Hendrickson

at telephone number (571) 272-1351.

/Stuart Hendrickson/

Stuart Hendrickson

examiner Art Unit 1793